1 2 3 4 5 Hon. Richard Jones 6 Hon. James P. Donohue 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 9 KYLE LYDELL CANTY, NO. 2:16-CV-01655-RAJ-JPD 10 Plaintiff, CITY OF SEATTLE DEFENDANTS' 11 REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO VS. DISMISS OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO 12 COMPEL DISCOVERY CITY OF SEATTLE, et al., 13 NOTED ON MOTIONS CALENDAR: Defendants. OCTOBER 27, 2017 14 15 I. INTRODUCTION 16 Defendants City of Seattle, Officer Marshall Coolidge, Sean Culbertson, Timothy 17 Renihan and Officer Hancock (hereinafter collectively "the City") submit this reply 18 memorandum in support of their motion to dismiss or in the alternative to compel discovery. 19 II. **ARGUMENT** 20 The City timely filed and served Plaintiff with a motion to dismiss based on his willful 21 discovery violatoins, or in the alternative to compel discovery, having noted it for 22 consideration on October 27, 2017. Any response in opposition to the motion was due to be 23 filed and served no later than October 23, 2017. To date, Plaintiff has filed no response to the 24 motion and any response filed hereafter is untimely. 25 26

1

2

3

"Except for motions for summary judgment, if a party fails to file papers in opposition to a motion, such failure may be considered by the court as an admission that the motion has merit." LCR 7(b)(2). Here, because Plaintiff has failed to file any opposition to the City's motion, the motion should be granted. This case should be dismissed based on Plaintiff's wilfull discovery violations, which include refusing to appear at a duly noted deposition, failing to respond to written discovery requests, failing to sign authorizations for relevant medical records, refusing to accept documents that are mailed to him, and refusing even to discuss these matters with counsel. Should this court not find that these wilfull violations merit dismissal, then an order compelling this discovery with an admonition that futher discovery violations will result in dismissal should be entered.

III. CONCLUSION

For all the forgoing reasons, the court should dismiss this matter with prejudice. In the alternative, the court should enter an order compelling Mr. Canty to accept documents mailed to him, to answer the City's discovery requests, to sign authorizations for his medical records, to appear for his deposition, and to cooperate in responding to discovery.

DATED this 26th day of October, 2017.

s/ John R. Nicholson
JOHN R. NICHOLSON WSBA #30499
Freimund Jackson & Tardif, PLLC
701 5th Avenue, Suite 3545
Seattle, WA 98104
Telephone: (206) 582-6001
Facsimile: (206) 466-6085
Johnn@fjtlaw.com
Attorneys for Defendants City of Seattle,

Attorneys for Defendants City of Seattle, Officer Marshall Coolidge, Sean Culbertson, Timothy Renihan and Officer Hancock

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
3	I certify that on the 26th day of October, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing
4	documents with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF E-Filing Systems, and notifying of such
5	filing to the following:
6	
7	Pro se Plaintiff, (X) ECF Electronic Filing
8	Kyle Lydell Canty DOC #401358
9	Washington Corrections Center P.O. Box 900
10	Shelton, WA 98584 DOCWCCInmateFederal@DOC1.WA.GOv
11	
12	Samantha D. Kanner, WSBA #36943 Deputy Prosecuting Attorney (X) ECF Electronic Filing
13	King County Prosecuting Attorney's Office 500 Fourth Avenue, 9 th Floor
14	Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 296-8820
15	SamanthaKanner@kingcounty.gov
16	Attorney for King County
17	
18	I certify under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State
19	of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.
20	DATED this 26th day of Octoer, 2017, in Seattle, Washington.
21	Differ this 20th day of Octool, 2017, in Scattle, washington.
22	s/Kathie Fudge
23	KATHIE FUDGE, Legal Assistant kathief@fjtlaw.com
24	
25	
26	

3